

## Chapter 2014 Evaluations

Number of evaluations submitted: 83

*Note: Not everyone answered all questions.*

### 1. How well do you believe the process was for creating the chapter agenda?

**Excellent: 33% ★**

**Good: 58% ★★★**

**Fair: 9%**

- √ Everybody had opportunity to surface topics.
- √ I don't know that we could have done any better, but the process didn't seem to produce the most useful agenda for us as a group.
- √ I've been to many of our chapters and I thought this one was the best. I hope to attend the next chapter as well....hoping that it will be a universal chapter.
- √ I would suggest that we respect the different cultures among us by something as simple as having a "Spanish Day", an "Oriental Day", a "U.S. Day." with corresponding food and decorations.
- √ The agenda lacked detail or when items mentioned were to take place ... They really appeared in the schedule once one had arrived at Xavier U,
- √ Perhaps I missed something somewhere, but I did not see some of the proposals until I got to chapter.
- √ I thought that the process was clear and that the two issues dealt with in larger sessions (concerns re: sexual abuse issues and ongoing pastoral planning) reflected what came out of the regional meetings.
- √ I thought the choice not to address the hot topic issue was a wise one; but I wonder if some service of reconciliation or healing might have prayerfully addressed the same.
- √ I thought that the process was clear and that the two issues dealt with in larger sessions (concerns re: sexual abuse issues and ongoing pastoral planning) reflected what came out of the regional meetings.
- √ Perhaps I missed something somewhere, but I did not see some of the proposals until I got to chapter.
- √ This would include all the chapter reports, which, I must admit I did not entirely read.
- √ I liked the idea of the input and the listening sessions in the regions
- √ The agenda lacked detail or when items mentioned were to take place ... They really appeared in the schedule once one had arrived at Xavier U.
- √ I've been to many of our chapters and I thought this one was the best. I hope to attend the next chapter as well....hoping that it will be a universal chapter.
- √ I don't know that we could have done any better, but the process didn't seem to produce the most useful agenda for us as a group.

## 2. How helpful were materials sent to you prior to chapter (i.e., FAQ on preparing for chapter, what to bring, what to expect, etc.)?

**Very helpful: 73% ★★★**

**Somewhat helpful: 23% ★**

- √ This selection is more because of my own time constraints not allowing me to read as extensively as I should have the materials proffered.
- √ The Capuchin Communications Office did a great job getting all of the reports, proposals, Inforum articles, etc. to the friars, especially electronically.
- √ We were told that soap and shampoo would be provided, but it was not.
- √ If I remember correctly, it seems to me that instructions were buried somewhere in a *Weekly Re-Cap* that I did not read until after chapter. So I did not remember about the extra blanket, clock in the room, etc. Perhaps an e-mail reminder or two would be helpful for those of us who are always weeks behind in dealing with our "stuff."
- √ Because of the information, I didn't have to over pack.

## 3. How helpful was the transportation (charter bus or airport pickup) or directions for driving to the chapter site?

**Very helpful: 92% ★★★★★**

**Somewhat helpful: 6%**

**Not very helpful: 1%**

- √ Though I suppose nothing would have come of it, it might have been worth the effort to investigate interest in some kind of bus or van service from Michigan so that we didn't have all those cars driving to Chicago from here.
- √ The bus trip was very relaxing. I don't need the stress of driving in Chicago.

## 4. How helpful was the staff when you first arrived on campus?

**Very helpful: 92% ★★★★★**

**Somewhat helpful: 8%**

- √ The staff at St. Xavier were great to us throughout the week.
- √ The Xavier staff was great!
- √ The young woman at the check-in did not mention there was soap available. When I got to my room I was disappointed to find no soap. I guess it was at the check-in place. Almost complained to TL, but didn't.
- √ Special thanks to the cart drivers. They were always available & generous with their time.
- √ The fact that there was a mix up on keys for my room was not desirable. The lack of complications (like being locked out) made it mostly a non issue.
- √ It went very smoothly.
- √ A big thanks to the "young Capuchins" for their wonderful spirit of brotherly help, especially driving those golf carts back and forth for us old "farts."

## 5. How satisfied were you with meals served?

**Very satisfied: 68% ★★★**

**Somewhat satisfied: 29% ★**

**Unsatisfied: 4%**

- √ Too many pasta dishes.
- √ I didn't think the food this year was as good as previous years. It was certainly adequate and nourishing.
- √ The meals were very tasty and seemed to be "healthy." The servers/banquet staff were friendly and diligent.
- √ Too much starch for those who are diabetic. Glad to see the fresh fruits come out later.
- √ Lot of pasta, but liked the availability of fruit e.g. bananas.
- √ Less pasta would be appreciated!
- √ At every meal, there were healthy alternatives.
- √ Meals were good tasting and ample servings.
- √ The meals were not really for diabetics. Too much starch and carbohydrates.
- √ Debby really worked hard on preparing a healthy and balanced menu. However the food served did not meet these qualifications. Somebody fell down on the job!
- √ Appreciated variety ... helped friars on a diet of some sort .... also great to have availability of Fruit ... and soft drinks of lemonade, iced water etc ..... set up at meals was very homey and inviting to sharing, conversation and meeting one another
- √ More fruits, fresh vegies and whole grains
- √ Lots of pasta again this year and difficult for a person who has to restrict salads.
- √ Too many starches, too much pasta, not enough protein from non-animal sources
- √ Suggest that milk be offered as beverage at all meals.
- √ Too much pasta; fresh fruit ran out; highly spiced sausage/brkfst and dinner; liquid serving table always congested.
- √ I loved the idea of recycled food utensils. The meals were very simple and tasty.

## 6. How satisfactory were the evening socials (atmosphere, beverage service, snacks, etc.)?

**Very satisfactory: 84% ★★★**

**Somewhat satisfactory: 13% ★**

**Not satisfactory: 3%**

- √ Did not attend because I find it hard to hear in a crowded room.
- √ I liked the addition of healthy snacks
- √ Would have appreciated more munchies. Got better as the chapter progressed.
- √ There were lots of choices for those who consume alcohol, but for those of us who do not drink alcohol the choices were only iced tea or lemonade or water. I would have liked some diet Pepsi or some similar beverage.
- √ I found the food offered at the socials to be sub-par and less satisfying than last chapter.
- √ Thank God there was no hard liquor at these socials.
- √ Snacks were just right--something to nibble on, yet not so much that we gorged ourselves on a variety of different foods.

√ I enjoyed the company and playing cards. Snacks and liquor were not my choices. We could go without.

√ The snacks were just right.

### **7. Apart from the air conditioning, how would you rate the private rooms?**

**Excellent: 40% ★★**

**Good: 50% ★★**

**Fair: 10%**

√ It always takes a while to learn how to turn down the air conditioning to a level comfortable to two people. Thanks for advice to bring a blanket and hangers.

√ Shower curtains would help. Larger towels would too.

√ There was an odor of sewer gas in the bathroom, and I reported that at the desk when I turned in my key.

√ They are not the Ritz, but the private shower and beforehand warning of the need for blanket and pillow were very helpful to me.

√ My room was fine. The internet connection was a bonus. The bed was quite comfortable. I suggest that, if we have the chapter at St. Xavier again, we might want to think about giving each capitular an official "Chapter 2017" fleece blanket. My guess is that they could be printed at a reasonable cost.

√ Plastic covering on mattresses uncomfortable, but probably necessary for university. Thank you for providing extra blanket!

√ Even with the air conditioning. After two days we discovered a simple on/off switch with which we could turn off the blower, which helped a lot. Someone could have told us about that. Maybe.

√ As a Capuchin I would never complain about the room. But as a human being I would complain about the cold. But then we were warned about bringing along a blanket. Not always the easiest thing to get into a small suitcase. When I got a blanket that was provided us, all was ok then.

√ We were reminded to bring blankets, which was helpful. The rooms also needed reading lights; a single overhead light made reading uncomfortable.

√ Keys were hard to work in bedroom doors. Mattresses were not comfortable.

√ All of the nights were very quiet.

### **8. How satisfactory were the arrangements and locations of rooms used for chapter business?**

**Very satisfactory: 74% ★★★**

**Somewhat satisfactory: 26% ★**

√ One breakout session not open. An oversight of unlocking them.

√ For the banquet meal, it would help those who have difficulty hearing in such a large group if they could have a separate quieter room for themselves.

√ Some glitches with unopen doors etc; but very doable.

The walking to get to and fro was a bit daunting; mostly because of my weight and poor sense of direction!

√ Distance to get around. But the rides were readily available and made it easy.

√ I enjoyed using the library during some of the breaks to look at local newspapers.

### **9. How would you rate the liturgical prayer services?**

**Excellent: 91% ★★★★★**

**Good: 8%**

**Fair: 1%**

√ I very much appreciated the memorial for our deceased brothers and sister, in spite of the length, and the use of Asian symbol/ritual was wonderful.

√ We really do pray well together. I was brought to tears several times.

√ I always enjoy the celebrations and the Liturgical Commission is to be commended on their efforts to help us pray well.

√ The liturgies were inspiring and well done. The memorial service went a little long, but I don't think that the friars minded too much.

√ Outstanding, in fact - every one!

√ High point of the chapter. Excellent!

√ Especially the evening prayer of remembrance of the deceased.

√ Appreciated the music with the fitting choice of words with it - also all the leadership with the music.

√ Prayer services were excellent with the multi-cultural flavor. The remembering the deceased was very inspiring but too long. But I don't have any suggestions about how to improve the service.

√ Memorial Service for deceased friars was good, but too long. Could have skipped the extra song between each. First Evening Prayer was very beautiful!

√ The memorial service for our deceased brothers was excellent. The multi-cultural aspect was deeply appreciated.

√ Cheers to the Liturgical Team for these wonderful prayer experiences. Especially beautiful was the incorporation of music from other cultures, especially the evening of Remembrance of our deceased brothers. That was absolutely outstanding.

√ Time for the reflection of dead brothers was too long.

√ Remembrance service was excellent--highlight of the entire chapter. All who worked on it are to be commended.

√ We prayed very well.

### **10. How would you rate the overall organization/schedule of the chapter week?**

**Very well organized: 76% ★★★**

**Generally well organized: 24% ★**

√ I am amazed that things ran so smoothly. Kudos to the steering committee!

√ We could have shortened the chapter, finishing easily on Thursday afternoon.

√ The patience that TL manifested was exemplary.

√ I felt it was a relaxed schedule yet kept us moving toward the needed items we had to attend to during the week.

√ Maybe time after dinner for a short siesta.

√ Very good to have had so much time for full-group sharing.

- √ It was very busy but other than adding a day- which I do not suggest- I don't see any alternative
- √ But too busy for me. Difficult to find time for a little rest during the day. Also distance from room.
- √ I appreciated especially that almost everything we needed or wanted was available on campus.
- √ Thank you for not having lots of small group discussions. I find them to be a waste of time.
- √ It can sometimes feel like there is very little free time in the week.
- √ Provincial report was over-kill .... we had copies ahead of time. .... it could have been muchly shortened
- √ Really a tight schedule I thought. More time for exercise would have been nice.
- √ Respecting the need to attend to practical matters, there was scarce time for reflection and contemplation.

### **11. How successfully did the panel members address concerns/questions regarding our sexual misconduct policies/practices?**

**Very successfully: 30% ★**

**Somewhat successfully: 54% ★★**

**Not very successfully: 14% ★**

**Unsuccessfully: 3%**

- √ The panel obviously took its cue from the regional gatherings' input. Very well done!
- √ This is an ongoing issue, I had no expectations we would solve/resolve anything but it was well done I thought.
- √ Never an easy topic but something we have to deal with.
- √ The issue(s) are so complex that I felt the panel did the best it could to address some of them and give their insights.
- √ I had hoped that the discussion would have been more on fraternal concerns. The structure of the panel did not lend itself to that.
- √ I think that they answered people's questions and concerns, though I don't think that the answers given were necessarily what people wanted.
- √ I missed hearing any comments, direct or indirect, from victims of sexual abuse and those accused of sexual abuse. Those are the people most directly affected by our policies/practices. The panel had an air of unreality about it.
- √ It is always sobering to recall what Mark Schenk said: that we are in a vulnerable profession/ministry and can easily be a target.
- √ This is a topic that will never be resolved. we forget that we are not the victims. i am really sorry that some of my brothers are hurt by this issue (maybe falsely accused) but the bigger pitcher is the children!
- √ The fact that the issue of one strike and you are out was not critiqued left me cold. We seemed more interesting in covering our butt rather than offering a critique of the charter.
- √ While I valued the opinion of Mark Schenk and John, I wonder if there were better people for this panel.

- √ I am convinced that many friars do not understand how much pain some of our brothers have inflicted on others. We need to hear more of this, and we need to be attentive to the ways in which we can prevent these things from happening in the future. The panel was wonderful!
- √ Not aware that others were hurting so much, and not sure how they felt about the presentation and discussion.
- √ This was the least helpful part of chapter. Panelists added little or nothing to what we have already rehashed so often.
- √ This was excellent! The panelists were informed and articulate, and helped us understand that we are not the victims.
- √ It would of been nice if some of the accused, if they wanted to, could express on how they were feeling support from the brothers. I could also see, how many of them would not choose to do that in a large group, but on a one to one.
- √ We have heard this material presented over and over before. It's like beating a dead horse.
- √ No comment .... over - kill ..... we have had it! Still think we need to pray that American bishops revisit the Dallas Charter.
- √ I hope we can put this too rest. It is getting rather tedious hearing this so often.
- √ When are we going to stop beating ourselves up and move on.
- √ I have had it with this!
- √ The issue has been so overworked that there was really nothing new to be gleaned from the presentation. The most significant thing to emerge was Gary's comment, "Brothers, in this matter the public does not see us as victims."
- √ This was a very touchy topic.

## **12. How satisfied were you with the provincial minister's triennial report?**

**Very satisfied: 78% ★★★**

**Somewhat satisfied: 21% ★**

**Not satisfied: 1%**

- √ John Cel is nothing if not thorough!
- √ Thorough and insightful.
- √ I really enjoyed reading it in full before hand. I also appreciated the summary John gave at the chapter.
- √ Very good on structures. I would have liked to hear more on personnel: both the personnel of the province, and the hired personnel of the province,
- √ So I am wondering if I should read the provincial's triennial before next chapter.
- √ Each chapter seems to suggest the spirituality of the friars but we never seem to pursue that topic.
- √ We could work more from the written report having been read, at least in part, and limit the time used for this. The time saved could be given to an ad hoc report for chapter from a Research and Planning commission which would be formed in a triennium with a goal to facilitate discussion for the next chapter gathering. Since it is no longer a standing commission(or whatever its status was)....at least some research and planning could part of the chapter dialogue. The composition of this commission would take pains to be diverse sociologically, theologically, culturally beyond Capuchin membership.

- √ Pre-chapter reports were helpful--this was the first time I had read them in their entirety.
- √ Too long: we read it ahead of time. It was good, but repeated what was written.

### **13. How satisfied were you with the segment on pastoral planning?**

**Very satisfied: 49% ★★**

**Somewhat satisfied: 47% ★★**

**Not very satisfied: 4%**

- √ It is obvious that our pastoral plan is a "living" document which will need to evolve. The conversations at chapter should be helpful to the new administration.
- √ I feel we still don't address some of our sacred cows.
- √ Especially the outpouring of ideas about the future by a lot of young friars that came spontaneously
- √ I would have hoped we could have given more direction to Michael and the Provincial Council. The summary of the status of the plan went well; but I don't think we had as much clarity about the next steps.
- √ It was the sharing that made it satisfactory; but of course short on specifics.
- √ Best part was the open mike. I was inspired by the young friars' enthusiasm for the future and for thinking of new ministries. I thought this was the high point of chapter.
- √ Very well thought out and pointed questions and comments.
- √ It was all a done deal. Would have liked to have had more discussion about sale of Monte Alverno and Marathon but what do you do when it is all over. Think there should have been a Province vote on letting them both go

### **14. How satisfactory was the process for discussing the various chapter proposals?**

**Very satisfactory: 61% ★★★**

**Somewhat satisfactory: 37% ★**

**Not very satisfactory: 2%**

- √ I thought John Corriveau handled the discussion and process very well.
- √ I thought that these went well. Unfortunately, two of the proposals were not very well drafted or throughout and created some difficult moments.
- √ Mark Schenk's presence and clarifications regarding the proposal re universal suffrage vs delegate chapter was essential

### **15. How would you evaluate John Corriveau's facilitation of the chapter?**

**Very effective: 93% ★★★★**

**Somewhat effective: 7%**

- √ It was wonderful to see him.
- √ I found John relaxed but anxious to keep us moving forward at the same time.
- √ Very relaxed, good sense of humor - and general comfort with a group with which he has worked so often
- √ I thought Mark Schenk could have handled all of it quite well. John Corriveau's presence was somewhat redundant for me.
- √ He is efficient, but with a nice human touch and sense of humor where appropriate.

- √ It is always a pleasure and honor to have John share with our community.
- √ It was an advantage that he knows our province.

### **16. How effective was Mark Schenk's performance as president of the chapter?**

**Very effective: 81% ★★★**

**Somewhat effective: 19% ★**

- √ This is my bias, and there's nothing we can do about it, but for the record: I really don't like Mark's style. He reflects a culture which is foreign to our province, and makes snide remarks which I find offensive. Even the title of his blog ("Just a Brother") is offensive to me.
- √ Simple and direct.
- √ I found Mark at ease with us and able to adjust to our more "laid-back" way of doing chapter.
- √ Presentation was good for looking at order's efforts at international cooperation.
- √ Except for the mistake on numbers for a chapter of the whole, I thought he communicated very clearly and to the point.
- √ Doesn't really understand the progressive culture of our province.
- √ Disarmingly honest and direct
- √ Aside from the comments on requirements for a universal suffrage, very good.
- √ I especially appreciated his final report on the worldwide status of the order. We really need to hear that global perspective.
- √ Mark kept things moving along and was well prepared and knew where to obtain information that he did not have on hand.

### **17. How helpful was the last session with the newly elected administration?**

**Very helpful: 54% ★★**

**Somewhat helpful: 41% ★★**

**Not very helpful: 5%**

- √ I guess we have to do this, but it seems very much a waste of time. The newly elected have not had time to reflect on things and be prepared to enter into a conversation with the delegates at that point.
- √ I would prefer that we eliminate this segment and change the schedule so that Friday morning is used for "essential" business (perhaps the election of the vicar) so that more friars would stick around to the end.
- √ I appreciated hearing their responses to the concerns that were raised.
- √ Some friars spent too much time at microphone, limiting any additional questions.
- √ I would have liked the new provincial to have commented more than he did; but he was in a listening mode.
- √ I appreciated the fact that Michael was the listener and let the other councilors address the questions and comments.
- √ It gave people a chance to share concerns, even to "vent." The downside was that it also seemed to give people the opportunity to give speeches.
- √ Good point: Mike Sullivan made it a listening session. He could have directed the discussion to particular points the council wanted to hear from us.
- √ But I have great compassion for them. They are not miracle workers.

- √ I think they all did the best they could given the fact that most were just getting their feet wet
- √ I loved Mike Sullivan's opening statement: "I'm listening!"
- √ Impressed with Mike Sullivan opening statement: something like: "Do not want make any statement now but just would like to listen... " Refreshing!
- √ Until they get working as a team, it is difficult to know just what they will do. Talk is cheap, until that talk produces results.
- √ Took off after a slow start. But per usual lot of expectations were expressed which may or may not be helpful.
- √ The new PC is limited in what it can share. But the friars absolutely require this kind of session.

### **18. Overall satisfaction with this chapter:**

**Very satisfied: 86% ★★★★★**

**Somewhat satisfied: 13%**

**Not very satisfied: 1%**

- √ I have nothing but praise for the steering committee. And I wish friars would stop complaining about the air conditioning! They need to understand that the university is basically for "young people," who have a very different tolerance than those of us older folks.
- √ A big and brotherly, Thank You!
- √ There was a positive spirit in the gathering and a good sense of unity for the most part. I very much appreciated the presence and input of the younger friars. I agree with Mike Crosby that the financial report could have been explained more for the benefit especially of the younger friars who may have been surprised at "how much money we have." At the same time, I am grateful for the full disclosure of our financial status.
- √ I am getting old and find the process too tiring. I shall think twice about coming to another chapter.
- √ The participation of the young friars in the discussions gave me a positive and hopeful feeling for the future of the province.
- √ Name tags would have been appreciated .... with so many formation personnel ..... we found it difficult not to identify with names we always get ....with person in front of you or at your table ..... would assist formation personnel as well as friars of all periods ...
- √ Heard only positive remarks about this chapter. May have been due to the fact that so many went in with low expectations.
- √ I enjoyed the chapter, but didn't enjoy the housing, nor some of the meals.

### **19. Do you have any concerns/issues that you would like addressed in preparations for our chapter of 2017?**

- √ I suppose we are in limbo of what kind of chapter we will have in 2017
- √ As we get smaller we may be able to go back to Calvary for chapter again? The only problem is that we also get older and walk poorer. So it is fine
- √ I would like to have name tags. It would help to get to know the younger members of the order and for them to find out who we older members are.

√ This is a purely personal note: I have trouble walking and doing stairs. I took the motorized rides to and from the dorms, but the long halls gave me a lot of trouble. I know nothing can be done about this given the venue we use. Just wanted to mention it as it may be more of an issue for me in the future.

√ Depending on the number of delegates, can it be brought back to Calvary or Washington Retreat center?

√ I think that we need to decide ASAP about whether we will proceed with a delegate chapter per the Capuchin Constitutions (maximizing participation and voting opportunities) or attempt to see if there are the votes for a universal suffrage chapter. Each option will need a little different preparation.

√ Yes: whether it will be universal suffrage or delegate chapter. Let's find out early, even though things could change in terms of those planning to be present.

√ We should be small enough to return to Calvary or Washington Retreat by the next chapter.

√ Given Mark Schenk's correction on numbers for a plenary chapter, I think we need another clear explanation of options and possible consequences, and perhaps regional discussions.

√ More clarity about the differences between a universal suffrage chapter and a delegate chapter. What are the implications for all of us? Will we indeed be "forced" by the constitutions to have a delegate chapter?

√ Distances between our rooms and chapel and/or working or dining places will be more of an obstacle in the future. However the shuttle service really did great work getting people to and fro.

√ I am a lay friar and i hope that i will be able to vote. losing my right to vote sends a message to me.

√ Franciscan Spirituality.

√ I would encourage planners to find a way for all solemnly professed friars to be considered delegates/capitulars. If I am not able to vote I have no interest in attending future chapters.

√ I like the idea of a delegate chapter with optional attendance open to all friars.

√ I think we need to find another place for the next chapter. XU,s corridors are too long, and the absence of elevators make it very difficult for people who have trouble walking. We don't want to exclude them if they want to come. Also the cart schedule for traveling back and forth to the dorms wasn't as well organized as the last chapter's.

√ On Tuesday morning there was a coffee break. On Wednesday morning there was no coffee break even though people were expecting one because there was one the previous day. It would seem to me that there should be a coffee break in midmorning each day.

√ I feel strongly that two elections for council is better as after the first two election -- the next two (No 3 & 4) need time to think, pray, share, and group discussion for elections of remaining two councilors

√ More inculturation is needed. I was very happy to see the youngest among us express their thoughts about Capuchin Life. But let us not forget the experience of the elderly friars who have lived the Capuchin way of life for many years.

√ Everybody should have the right to vote. Not just those that are the chosen ones of the province.

√ Have a straw ballot in Feb to get the juices and discussions started. A second in May. And forget that first thing we had the last several chapters. If a friar's names begin to arise in the straw ballots let them then express where they stand on his passive voice. A friar does not need to give us his strong and weak points before they know if they are going to get any votes. Others already know enough about them from their lived experience in the province.

√ Yes — it better be a chapter of the province and not a delegate chapter!

√ Even if the province does not have universal suffrage at the next chapter I hope that we will still celebrate fraternity with as many Brothers as possible. Chapter is the only time some of us can be together.

√ I often thought we needed to go back to an extra day in the chapter so that we could deal with more substantial issues in-depth. The pastoral plan could have been an example. I know the province cannot know if such an issue exists until it is too late to adjust plans. But I wonder if the new PC might already now want to plan on a subject that would take a little longer and move us forward on an important topic, e.g., collaboration of personnel. Maybe this alone is reason to add an extra day. I missed giving our guests a short time to make some sort of presentation, e.g., Paul Schmitz, José Alarcón (again, if there's not enough time to do this, should we add that extra day?) I thought it almost rude to José to give him so little acknowledgment. We need to be moving now to resolve the universal suffrage question so we are not caught unprepared to execute the desire of the province in time for the next chapter!

√ I didn't feel that anything very significant came from the chapter, but I think that is reflective of the province itself. I think we are too tired to entertain new ideas, challenges, begin new initiatives. That is not a criticism, simply a reflection of where we are emotionally, spiritually and intellectually these days.

√ Have young friars prepare more A/V to inform us; acquaint us with who they are.